

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/673,645	HAAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carla Myers	1634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Carla Myers.

(3) _____.

 (2) Monica Chin Kitts.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2005, 9/14/05 + 9/22/05 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the claims to processes of detection would be allowable if claim 53 was amended to include the limitations set forth in newly added claim 104. The examiner also indicated that claim 59 would be rejoined with the elected invention. Applicant's representative authorized the attached examiner's amendment..